

Date: April 4, 2025

ADDENDUM No. 2:

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)
TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN SERVICES
FOR GREATER LOS ANGELES COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL
DISTRICT
PACOIMA FACILITY TENANT IMPROVEMENTS
IN THE CITY OF PACOIMA, CALIFORNIA**

Each proposer shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on their RFP.

A. Revise Proposal Due Date to: April 15, 2025; 2:00 PM.

B. The revised Construction Budget is \$2,000,000.

C. Questions/Answers:

1. The cover indicates that one electronic copy in PDF format should be submitted, but later instructions say to send two PDFs (technical and fee). Please confirm if two PDFs are requested or all in one PDF.

Answer: It is acceptable to send one email containing separate .pdfs, one for the Technical Proposal, and one for the Fee Proposal.

2. Would you like us to include a certificate of insurance showing coverage limits with our response? If yes, shall we include in section 8 of technical proposal (“required documents”)?

Answer: Yes, provide a certificate of insurance in Section 8.

3. Addendum no. 1 notes Anticipated RFP NTP May 2025, however the RFP says June 13, 2025. Which should be used?

Answer: Revise Item 3, RFP Schedule to align with Item 11, Section 2: Schedule date to: June 13, 2025.

4. Would you like the architect to provide a line-item in the pricing to infill the mezzanine for a more efficient layout? Or would you like the architect to simply include this scope in a programming and space planning effort only, and we may provide a fee number once the scope of work is determined?

Addendum No. 2

April 4, 2025

Page 1

Answer: Yes, provide a line item to infill the mezzanine.

5. Please confirm that the city will carry the expediting services for plan check.

Answer: Yes, the District will carry expediting services for plan check.

6. Should the architect provide a fee for a CASp survey (ADA survey)?

Answer: Yes

7. Are the plans required to be in CAD or can Revit be the primary software?

Answer: No requirement, however, the District can only review as .pdfs.

8. Are there Architectural, Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Structural as-builts available for this building?

Answer: The District does have existing building plans. It should be noted that the previous owner made revisions to the building that may not be reflected in available plans.

9. Does the District anticipate a need to seismically retrofit the building?

Answer: District does not anticipate seismic retrofit of the building unless plan review or code changes require structural upgrades.

10. If for any reason the project requires any of the following, shall we assume the District will provide same at District expense or authorize the chosen consultant to provide as an additional service:

- a. Topographic and/or Boundary Survey done
- b. Geotechnical Report add-service
- c. Environmental study Phase 1 complete
- d. Haz-Mat Report should not be required based on ENV. study
- e. Fire alarm and security system replacement or modernization

Answer: A boundary survey was completed prior to the building acquisition. If a Geo Tech report is required, chosen Consultant to provide as an add-service. A Phase 1 Environmental study was completed and did not require a Phase 2 study. A Haz-Mat report should not be required based on the Phase 1 environmental study findings.

Chosen Consultant to provide as an add-service for fire alarm or security system replacement or modernization.

11. Will the District be able to provide a complete set of record drawings?

Answer: Refer to Answer 8.

12. Does the District anticipate locating the new datacom server rooms in the same location as is currently used?

Answer: The District does not anticipate the room will stay in the same location or if or how much of the existing cabling could be reused.

13. May we assume the final design for Datacom device selection and cable management will be by others selected by the District so that our work is limited to indicating where devices will be placed?

Answer: Design of cabling needs shall be provided by the selected Consultant according to the location of workstations and printers. The District's IT Department will review and approve. Additional details to be discussed with the selected firm.

14. Will the scope of work require an electrical service upgrade to accommodate future EVCS requirement?

Answer: The District understands they have ample existing power capacity and would only include in the scope if it is beneficial to the District to add a service upgrade for future EVCS.

15. Will mosquito fishing rearing space be considered an indoor installation or outdoors?

Answer: Indoor space.

16. Please provide additional information regarding the pesticide storage (approximate quantities, toxicity, etc.) so that we can more clearly understand hazard level, ventilation, temperature, interior finish requirements of the space.

Answer: Pesticide storage room requires air-conditioning only. Current storage is inspected annually and approved by the California State Health Department. At the annual maximum, the District may store 14K lbs. of mosquito control products all rated CAUTION. Occasionally, the District may have a few packages of Nuvan ProStrips (rated WARNING) for laboratory use. These strips are available at every

home and garden store and are designed to control insects like moths in enclosed spaces such as closets.

17. Will the selection of furnishings and equipment, including the recording/film/photo studio equipment be by others, retained by the District and our scope be limited to coordination with their work?

Answer: The selected consultant is to provide FF&E selections and coordination of the work. Recording/film/photo studio equipment to be provided by the District.

18. Can we assume that the capacity of the existing generators is adequate for the proposed tenant improvements?

Answer: The single Kohler generator is adequate for the District's emergency requirements. Other generators are for cell site use and do not provide emergency power to the building.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2